

## **EXHIBIT A**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: . Case No. 09-50026-mg  
. Chapter 11  
.   
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, . (Jointly administered)  
et al., f/k/a GENERAL .  
MOTORS CORP., et al, . One Bowling Green  
. New York, NY 10004  
Debtors. .  
. Thursday, January 12, 2017  
. 9:30 a.m.  
. . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF (CC: DOC# 13802, 13813, 13819, 13820, 13822)  
STATUS CONFERENCE REGARDING LATE CLAIMS MOTION; (CC: DOC. NO.  
13806) STATUS CONFERENCE RE: MOTION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING  
AUTHORITY TO FILE LATE CLASS PROOFS OF CLAIM FILED BY EDWARD S.  
WEISFELNER ON BEHALF OF DESIGNATED COUNSEL FOR THE IGNITION  
SWITCH PLAINTIFFS & CERTAIN NON-IGNITION SWITCH PLAINTIFFS;  
(CC: DOC# 13807) OMNIBUS MOTION TO ALLOW CLAIMS, FILE LATE  
PROOFS OF CLAIM FOR PERSONAL INJURIES AND WRONGFUL DEATHS

**BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE**

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: King & Spalding LLP  
By: ARTHUR STEINBERG, ESQ.  
SCOTT DAVIDSON, ESQ.  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-4003  
(212) 556-2158

For the Ignition Switch Brown Rudnick LLP  
plaintiffs and certain By: EDWARD S. WEISFELNER, ESQ.  
non-Ignition Switch HOWARD S. STEEL, ESQ.  
plaintiffs: 7 Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 209-4917

APPEARANCES CONTINUED.

Audio Operator: Jonathan, ECRO

Transcription Company: Access Transcripts, LLC  
10110 Youngwood Lane  
Fishers, IN 46038  
(855) 873-2223  
[www.accesstranscripts.com](http://www.accesstranscripts.com)

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording,  
transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES (Continued):

For Personal Injury  
Accident Plaintiffs:

Goodwin Procter LLP  
By: WILLIAM P. WEINTRAUB, ESQ.  
The New York Times Building  
620 Eighth Avenue  
New York, NY 10018-1405  
(212) 813-8839

For Participating  
Unit Holders:

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP  
By: DANIEL GOLDEN, ESQ.  
NAOMI MOSS, ESQ.  
One Bryant Park  
New York, NY 10036-6745  
(212) 872-1000

For the GUC Trust  
Administrator:

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
By: MITCHELL KARLAN, ESQ.  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10166-0193

For the Ignition Switch  
Plaintiffs:

Stutzman Bromberg Esserman & Plifka  
By: SANDER L. ESSERMAN, ESQ.  
2323 Bryan Street, Suite 2200  
Dallas, TX 75201-2689  
(214) 969-4910

For JPMorgan Chase  
Bank, N.A.:

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz  
By: HAROLD S. NOVIKOFF, ESQ.  
51 West 52nd Street  
New York, NY 10019  
(212) 403-1000

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For the Ad Hoc Group  
Of Term Lenders:

Jones Day  
By: BRUCE BENNETT, ESQ.  
555 South Flower Street, 50th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
(213) 243-2382



1 THE COURT: Let me hear from them whether the  
2 position is different, okay? Let's not --

3 Who's representing the GUC Trust?

4 MR. KARLAN: I am, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Why don't you come on up to the  
6 microphone, if you would.

7 MR. KARLAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: I apologize I don't know who you are, so  
9 you can just tell me.

10 MR. KARLAN: Your Honor, any time a federal judge  
11 hasn't seen my picture in the post office is a good day. I'm  
12 Mitch Karlan from Gibson Dunn, Your Honor, counsel for the GUC  
13 Trust.

14 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Karlan. Thank you.

15 MR. KARLAN: A few preliminary points to respond to  
16 some of the things that you've asked from the bench, Judge. We  
17 do think Pioneer applies. We do think we need discovery before  
18 the Pioneer issues can be resolved. I can confirm for Your  
19 Honor, and I'll go into it in some detail on this, that the  
20 discovery we would like to take is different from the discovery  
21 that is presently underway in the MDL. And for what it's  
22 worth, we're not parties in the MDL. I don't presently have  
23 access to any discovery that has been or will be taken in the  
24 MDL.

25 I have read the fact sheets that -- not the answers,



1 but the questions, and as you might expect, they are long, they  
2 are detailed. They ask questions like, were you wearing your  
3 seatbelt, and, how old was the car, and how many miles were on  
4 it? That's not what we're interested in.

5 THE COURT: Well, of course, the accident victims are  
6 only one small portion of the -- not small, a very important  
7 portion, but we've got all these economic loss plaintiffs.  
8 When they had, you know, their seatbelts are irrelevant to  
9 that.

10 MR. KARLAN: Right. But the issue being tried in the  
11 MDL, as I understand it, Your Honor, they are several steps  
12 ahead of us with respect to their claims against GM. They are  
13 now onto the merits. We --

14 THE COURT: So let me ask you this, Mr. Karlan.

15 MR. KARLAN: Sure.

16 THE COURT: Have you drafted your interrogatories?

17 MR. KARLAN: I have, Your Honor, and I'm sorry that  
18 the other parties don't have them. The reason they don't have  
19 them is that as of six o'clock p.m. last night, there was an  
20 agreement among all of us as to how we were going to proceed,  
21 which involved me not serving the interrogatories.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Well --

23 MR. KARLAN: And that fell apart between -- and I  
24 found out when I arrived this morning that there was no deal.  
25 I have the interrogatories here. It's only 15, it's not 25.



1 I'm happy to read them if anybody's really bored and wants to  
2 be --

3 THE COURT: We can save the responsive reading.

4 MR. KARLAN: Okay. Okay. But they are not on the  
5 merits, Judge. They are, you know, what you would expect on a  
6 Pioneer set of issues. When did you first know about the  
7 bankruptcy?

8 THE COURT: Why do you think that the -- explain to  
9 me why you think the Pioneer factors are relevant to the  
10 Court's decision whether to permit the late claim and later the  
11 bankruptcy court and the Second Circuit determining a due  
12 process violation?

13 MR. KARLAN: Your Honor, I don't read the Second  
14 Circuit or Judge Glenn's --

15 THE COURT: Not Judge Glenn, I'm Judge Glenn.

16 MR. KARLAN: I'm sorry, Judge. Thank you, thank you.

17 THE COURT: Begins with a G, Judge Gerber.

18 MR. KARLAN: Judge Gerber. I don't read Judge  
19 Gerber's or the Second Circuit's opinion to mean that if, in  
20 2075, somebody filed a motion for leave to file a late claim in  
21 this case that simply because they hadn't gotten the bar notice  
22 -- the bar order notice that they could proceed. I --

23 THE COURT: So assuming that -- let's assume that the  
24 Supreme Court denies cert.

25 MR. KARLAN: Okay.



1 THE COURT: Okay. But until that happens, we don't  
2 have a final decision with respect to the due process  
3 violation. Agree with that?

4 MR. KARLAN: I do.

5 THE COURT: Okay. So I have in front of me the  
6 motion for leave to file late claims. Your argument about  
7 somebody coming back in 2075 is not reality. Reality is we  
8 don't have a final decision yet with respect to the due process  
9 issue.

10 MR. KARLAN: No, my point was, Judge, the fact that  
11 those people who didn't get the bar notice and, according to  
12 the Second Circuit, should have, we, as of today, have a due  
13 process violation. That universe may be significantly larger  
14 than we know about. It may -- it is certainly larger than the  
15 people who have filed motions for leave to file late proofs of  
16 claim, and I think it's appropriate to inquire whether all of  
17 those people are now entitled to file late proofs of claim or  
18 whether there may be --

19 THE COURT: I haven't made a decision that anybody's  
20 entitled to file a late proof of claim.

21 MR. KARLAN: Well --

22 THE COURT: What I have is a motion, and with every  
23 -- precluding my agreement that whether it could be a class  
24 claim or not, that is not being decided yet.

25 MR. KARLAN: Right, right.

